



## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/680,177	10/05/2000	Mitsuaki Oshima	2000-1391	6208
75	7590 07/30/2004		EXAMINER	
Wenderoth Lind & Ponack L L P			LE, AMANDA T	
2033 K Street N Suite 800	N W		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20006			2634	23
			DATE MAILED: 07/30/2004	32

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
,	09/680,177	OSHIMA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Amanda T Le	2634			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02	March 2004.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ TI	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 36-41 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 36-41 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 1/12/04 is/are: a) ☐ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by the ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ection is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). sected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Application of the contraction of the	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date 31.</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 08) 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/680,177

Art Unit: 2634

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 01/12/04 has been entered.

## Reissue Applications

2. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1), a supplemental reissue oath/declaration under 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1) must be received before this reissue application can be allowed.

Claims 36-41 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251. See 37 CFR 1.175. The nature of the defect is set forth above.

Receipt of an appropriate supplemental oath/declaration under 37 CFR 1.175(b)(1) will overcome this rejection under 35 U.S.C. 251. An example of acceptable language to be used in the supplemental oath/declaration is as follows:

"Every error in the patent which was corrected in the present reissue application, and is not covered by a prior oath/declaration submitted in this application, arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant."

## Double Patenting

3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Application/Control Number: 09/680,177

Art Unit: 2634

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 36-41 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-5 of U.S. Patent No. 6,256,357 in view of Farias et al (4,891,806) and Fazel et al (5,218,622).

The patented claims disclose all the subject matters claimed, except for "a first BCH encoder/decoder" and "a second RS encoder/decoder" for encoding/decoding the first data stream and the second data stream respectively.

Farias et al discloses a transmission system comprising a first ECC (col. 10, lines 5-58), a first ECC decoder (col. 10, lines 55-58), a second ECC (Fig. 2, block 118), a second ECC decoder (Fig. 10, 195) for encoding/decoding two streams of data wherein the first data stream has information for demodulating the second data stream (Fig. 10, 206, 190). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the patented system to include the two ECC encoders/decoders suggested by Farias et al for the purpose of providing error protection of the transmitted data in the system where the integrity of data is necessary. Further, Farias et al teaches that "any number of conventional signal constellations and modulation schemes can be used for either the main channel and the secondary channel" (col. 10, lines 64-67, 8-14). Moreover, using BCH and RS coding/decoding simultaneously in a system is known in the art at the time of the invention (see Fazel et al, col. 9, lines 55-col. 10, line 2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention

Application/Control Number: 09/680,177

Art Unit: 2634

to employ well-known ECC scheme to implement the patented system in view of Farias et al's

ECC encoder/decoder. Such modification is a matter of choice to meet the design criteria of a

particular application.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 36-41 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the double

patenting rejection, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Amanda T Le whose telephone number is (703) 305-4769.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on (703) 305-6714. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AMANDAT.LE

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 4